

COSD – one year on

Breast Workshop

March 2014

Barry Plewa

A recap - COSD

- New national cancer dataset – incorporates registration
- Whole patient pathway
- Aligned and rationalised with other cancer datasets
- Includes generic and site specific data
- Submitted monthly – most data from MDT systems and Path systems
- Monthly feedback
- **Phased implementation Jan 13 – Jan 15**
- Oversight by COSD Governance Board

Core dataset

- Referral through to treatment for all patients
- Initial Focus on :
 - Diagnosis
 - Basis of diagnosis
 - Performance status (for adults)
 - Contact with CNS
 - MDT discussion
 - Stage (TNM and site specific)

Breast – Clinical/Imaging

- Clinical Examination (3)
 - NPI Score
 - ASA Score
-
- Mammogram (3)
 - Breast Ultrasound (3)
 - Axilla Ultrasound (3)

Breast – Pathology data (additional to generic)

MULTIFOCAL TUMOUR

DCIS GRADE

INVASIVE GRADE (BREAST)

NON INVASIVE TUMOUR SIZE

WHOLE TUMOUR SIZE

METASTASIS EXTENT

DISTANCE TO MARGIN

ER ALLRED SCORE

ER STATUS

PR ALLRED SCORE

PR STATUS

HER2 STATUS

HER2 ISH STATUS


CYTOLOGY (BREAST)

CYTOLOGY (NODE)


CORE BIOPSY (BREAST)

CORE BIOPSY (NODE)

Timetable



Timetable



Implementation progress

- Implementation led by NCRS
- Data Transfer Agreements signed with Trusts
- ~160 trusts submitting MDT data files
- Data liaison lead appointed to work with Trusts
- Reporting portal established – feedback on files received and key fields in raw data submitted
- Revision of reporting portal – expected Feb 2014
- Registration feedback deferred to 2014

National Cancer Registration Service


- Separation of registration and analysis within PHE
- Analysis by PHE Knowledge and Intelligence Teams and NCIN
- NCRS
 - Single system (ENCORE) and processes
 - Collate data from multiple sources
 - Timely feedback

Data Liaison Team


- Resource offered by NCRS
- National lead appointed
- Officer at each branch office
- Working with Trusts to improve data quality and completeness
- Concentration on key fields to start with – eg stage completeness

Staging Completeness 2011/2012


70% Target



Staging Completeness 2012/2013




COSD Conformance Portal

**Public Health
England**

ncrs National Cancer Registration Service
COSD Conformance Reporting Site

Logged in

Home | Level 1 | Level 2 | Documents Library | Log Out



Contact Details

For queries regarding the COSD, please e-mail:
cosd@ncin.org.uk

For queries regarding the content of this site,
please e-mail: barry.plewa@nhs.net

Local Registry Contacts

Eastern	Andy Murphy
East Midlands	Alex Albus
London and South East	Michael Sharpe
Northern and Yorkshire	Christine Head
North West	Elsita Payne
Oxford	Kellie Peters
South West	Colin Danks

The National Cancer Registration Service COSD Conformance Reporting Site

Introduction

This portal has been designed to give providers of COSD cancer data rapid, quality feedback on the quality of their submissions. It allows users to look at the completeness of key data items and to conduct comparisons with local, regional and national averages to calculate relative performance.


The portal will be a continued development, incorporating useful metrics and measures with the aim of improving the quality of data flowing to the National Cancer Registration Service and ultimately, informing improvements in patient care and outcomes.

Background

The Cancer Outcomes and Services Dataset (COSD) Data Transfer Partnership Agreements (DTPAs) together with the COSD Conformance Framework provide guidance for submission of the dataset and how conformance with the COSD Information Standard is reported and monitored. Providers are expected to agree the data files that they submit for COSD in their DTPA with their local office of the National Cancer Registration Service (NCRS). The DTPA will be renewed annually. [Copies of your COSD DTPA are available from your local NCRS branch office on request]

The Framework will require on-going development to take account of other generic and site-specific data items. This will be developed in consultation with key stakeholders particularly local clinical teams and the NCIN Site Specific Clinical Reference Groups (SSCRGs)

The implementation of COSD will be managed by the NCRS directly with its data providers. The principal approach will be to work in partnership with clinicians and their information, management and multi-disciplinary teams to implement the Standard successfully. The NCRS will provide training and support to help providers implement the Standard successfully.



COSD User Guide

Useful Links and Documentation

How to use this Reporting Site

COSD Downloads

Staging Guidance for Trusts

Staging Definitions



Reporting feedback

- Reporting portal
 1. Fact of submission (files)
 2. Data Quality (raw data)
 3. Quality assurance (processed data)

- Analytical reports - led by NCIN
 4. Clinical analysis (processed/summarised data)

Level 1 Reports

- Level 1.1 Report
- Level 1.2 Report
- Level 1.3 Report

Report Descriptions

[Click headings to show descriptions...](#)

> Level 1.1

Have all the agreed COSD data files been received as per the COSD Data Transfer Partnership Agreement?

Trusts would be expected to send a monthly submission of all files agreed in their COSD Data Transfer Partnership Agreement. For a trust to be compliant with Measure 1.1, ALL the required files must have been received on time for that month. If one or more is missing or late, the trust will be non-compliant. Please refer to Measure 1.2 to see details of individual data feeds.

> Level 1.2

> Level 1.3

[illegible]

Level 2

– Data quality – raw data

- By MDT/Tumour group and Provider for month of diagnosis (invasive and non invasive)
- Available within **one month** of submission
- For Trusts submitting in XML/structured format
- *How do you want to get feedback?*



Level 2 Report Descriptions

Click headings to show descriptions...

> Level 2.1

> 2.1a: No. tumours diagnosed per month

Number of tumours diagnosed in the calendar month.

Number of cases diagnosed within the reporting month either as a trust overall summary or by selected site groups.

Calculated using CR0370 (Primary Diagnosis ICD10) and CR2030 (Date of Diagnosis).

> 2.1b: No. with a treatment record submitted

> 2.1c: No. with a first treatment of surgery

> 2.1d: No. with a basis of diagnosis

> 2.1e: No. with histological basis of diagnosis

> 2.1f: No. with CNS indication code

> 2.1g: No. had contact with CNS

> 2.1h: No. patients aged under 25

> 2.1i: No. discussed at MDT Meeting

> Level 2.2

> Level 2.3

Trust Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Year 2013

Month January, February, March, April, ▼

Tumour Group

1 of 1

100%

Find | Next

Select a form

Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
COSD Level 2 MDT Quality and Completeness Report - 2013 for All Sites

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
L2.1 - Data Quality and Completeness for Diagnosis Period												
L2.1a Number of Cases Diagnosed	289	224										
L2.1b Number of Cases Diagnosed with a Treatment Record Submitted	94	73										
L2.1c Number of Cases Diagnosed where the First Treatment was Surgery	80	62										
L2.1d Number of Cases with a Basis of Diagnosis	242	84%	150	67%	154							
L2.1e Number of Cases with a Histological Basis of Diagnosis	165	57%	106	47%	102							
L2.1f Number of Cases with a CNS Indication Code Submitted	11	4%	2	1%	0							
L2.1g Number of Cases Diagnosed who had a CNS Contact	10	3%	2	1%	0							
L2.1h Number of Cases Discussed at an MDT	118	41%	73	33%	88	41%	122	40%	143	30%	123	40%
L2.2 - Completeness for All Cases Discussed at an MDT												
L2.2a Number of Cases with a Performance Status	39	33%	39	53%	51	58%	39	32%	40	28%	31	25%
L2.2b Number of Cases with a Full Stage	35	30%	22	30%	38	43%	33	27%	41	29%	41	33%
L2.2c Number of Cases with a Performance Status and Full Stage	17	14%	18	25%	24	27%	16	13%	24	17%	21	17%
L2.3 - Breakdown of Staging Completeness by Cases Discussed at MDT												
L2.3a Total and % Cases with a Full Stage	35	30%	22	30%	38	43%	33	27%	41	29%	41	33%
Total and % Cases with a Partial Stage	14	12%	8	11%	9	10%	4	3%	12	8%	10	8%
L2.3b Total and % Cases with a Full Pre-Treatment Stage	31	26%	20	27%	31	35%	20	16%	36	25%	34	27%
Total and % Cases with a Partial Pre-Treatment Stage	14	12%	8	11%	9	10%	4	3%	12	8%	10	8%
L2.3c Total and % Cases with a Full Integrated Stage	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total and % Cases with a Partial Integrated Stage	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
L2.3d Total and % of Cases with a Site Specific Stage	8	7%	2	3%	7	8%	14	11%	6	4%	11	9%

- All Sites
<Select a Value>
NP
All Non-Invasive Cancers
All Sites
Brain & Central Nervous System
Cancer Of Unknown Primary Site
Invasive Breast Cancers
Invasive Cancers Of Soft Tissue (Outside Of Named Organs) And Bone
Invasive Cardiothoracic Tumours (Excluding Lung)
Invasive Endocrine Cancers (Thyroid, Parathyroid, Adrenal And Paraganglia)
Invasive Gynaecological Cancers
Invasive Haematological Cancers
Invasive Head And Neck Cancers (Excluding Thyroid)
Invasive Hepato Biliary And Gall Bladder Cancers
Invasive Lower Gi Cancers
Invasive Lung Cancers
Invasive Melanoma Skin Cancers
Invasive Non - Melanoma Skin Cancers
Invasive Oesogastric Cancers
Invasive Pancreatic Cancers
Invasive Prostate Cancers
Invasive Urological Cancers
Non-Invasive Bladder Cancer
Non-Invasive Breast Cancer
Non-Invasive Cervical Cancer
Other Invasive Cancers

Reporting feedback - Level 2.1

- a) Number of tumours diagnosed in the calendar month.
- For all diagnoses in the month, the number and percentage of cases supplied....
 - b) with a treatment record submitted
 - c) with a first treatment of surgery (*to inform responsibility for integrated staging – see 2.3c*)
 - d) with a basis of diagnosis (*Not including “not known”*)
 - e) with a histological basis of diagnosis
 - f) with a Clinical Nurse Specialist indication code (*Not including “not known”*)
 - g) who had contact with a Clinical Nurse Specialist
 - h) for patients aged under 25
 - i) discussed at a Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) Meeting



Level 2 Report Descriptions

Click headings to show descriptions...

> Level 2.1

> 2.1a: No. tumours diagnosed per month

Number of tumours diagnosed in the calendar month.

Number of cases diagnosed within the reporting month either as a trust overall summary or by selected site groups.

Calculated using CR0370 (Primary Diagnosis ICD10) and CR2030 (Date of Diagnosis).

> 2.1b: No. with a treatment record submitted

> 2.1c: No. with a first treatment of surgery

> 2.1d: No. with a basis of diagnosis

> 2.1e: No. with histological basis of diagnosis

> 2.1f - No. with CNS indication code

> 2.1g: No. had contact with CNS

> 2.1h: No. patients aged under 25

> 2.1i: No. discussed at MDT Meeting

> Level 2.2

> Level 2.3

Strategic Clinical Network **Cheshire And Mersey, East Mid**

Region **3 Counties, Anglia, Arden, Avon**

Trust **Aintree University Hospitals NHS**

Year **2013**

Level **L2.2b - Number of Cases with a Full Stage**

Tumour Group **All Sites**

1 of 1 100% Find | Next Select a format Export

COSD Conformance Summary Level 2

L2.2b - Number of Cases with a Full Stage for All Sites

Click Trust name for full MDT data quality and completeness report

	2013																			
	Jan		Feb		Mar		Apr		May		Jun		Jul		Aug		Sep		Oct	
TOTAL	6926		6627		6940		7227		7740		6837		7444		6537		5685		338	
Cheshire And Mersey	710		732		732		663		729		689		729		612		555		30	
Merseyside & Cheshire	710	63%	732	66%	732	65%	663	60%	729	63%	689	61%	729	61%	612	62%	555	58%	30	48%
Aintree University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	129	54%	120	59%	128	58%	117	52%	115	51%	107	50%	120	52%	114	49%	122	53%	5	38%
Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	3	33%	1	14%	0	0%
Countess Of Chester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	52	50%	45	42%	62	61%	41	55%	53	50%	61	51%	45	45%	40	47%	40	38%	4	67%
Liverpool Heart and Chest NHS Foundation Trust	52	88%	49	70%	29	83%	42	81%	52	81%	39	89%	32	84%	29	81%	25	68%	0	0%
Liverpool Women's NHS Foundation Trust	37	69%	32	62%	41	84%	29	66%	30	68%	32	78%	27	71%	19	59%	13	50%	7	54%
Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust	14	31%	19	48%	41	48%	42	46%	58	46%	63	45%	49	36%	7	37%	0	0%	0	0%
Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust	75	63%	67	59%	75	65%	45	52%	62	73%	51	62%	60	62%	50	56%	44	52%	3	75%
St Helens and Knowsley Hospitals NHS Trust	187	84%	225	92%	194	82%	188	83%	189	86%	199	80%	227	84%	199	86%	187	84%	5	71%
The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Warrington and Halton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	19	37%	18	37%	17	38%	14	27%	14	52%	2	67%	2	12%	1	33%	6	23%	0	0%
Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	145	79%	156	83%	145	73%	145	75%	156	69%	135	69%	166	74%	150	69%	117	62%	6	67%
East Midlands	157		148		113		91		220		149		218		149		156		3	
East Midlands	157	38%	148	39%	113	42%	91	33%	220	34%	149	29%	218	32%	149	28%	156	30%	3	11%
Burton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	1	100%	6	43%	0	0%
Derby Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	35	30%	22	30%	38	43%	33	27%	41	29%	41	33%	48	34%	32	28%	35	32%	0	0%
Kettering General Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	37	27%	31	22%	30	24%	0	0%	44	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Nottingham General Hospital NHS Trust	85	52%	85	57%	45	75%	55	50%	113	50%	73	54%	113	50%	88	54%	85	48%	4	43%

Reporting feedback – L2.2 and L2.3

- L2.2 – For all cases discussed at an MDT Meeting, the number and percentage supplied....
 - a) with Performance Status *(not applicable for patients aged under 16)*
 - b) with a full stage value
 - c) with performance status **and** a full stage value
-
- L2.3 – For all cases discussed at an MDT meeting, the breakdown of Staging Completeness by cases having...
 - a) Any Stage
 - b) Pre-Treatment Stage
 - c) Integrated Stage *(for all cases with a first treatment of “Surgery” [2.1c])*
 - d) Site-Specific Stage
-

Reporting feedback – L2.4

- All cases receiving treatment in the calendar month broken down by
 - a) Surgery
 - b) Radiotherapy
 - c) Chemotherapy
 - d) Non-active Therapy

Level 3 – Reports on registered cases

- Due summer 2014
- Availability through reporting portal
- Based on same data as Level 2 reports
- Complied to cover whole pathway for shared patients
- Additional site specific feedback to support Level 4 analysis

Level 4 - Clinical reports

- NCIN leading
- Development during 2014
- Consultation with clinical teams
 - SSCRGs
 - Network site specific groups
 - NHS England - Sean Duffy (one year survival)
- Need to align with
 - Service profiles
 - Peer review clinical lines of enquiry
 - Commissioning and SCNs
 - National and professional body audits

Summary

- NCIN leading maintenance and ISB
- NCRS leading implementation
- Whole dataset should now be collected
- Reporting feedback under revision – due imminently!
- Summary reports mid year
- Analytical reports by end of year

Thank you

Barry.plewa@nhs.net

Queries to: cosd@ncin.org.uk



Cancer Outcomes Conference
9 & 10 June 2014
Hilton Birmingham Metropole

www.ncin.org.uk/conference



ukiacr
United Kingdom and Ireland
Association of
Cancer Registries



NCIN
**national cancer
intelligence network**

Using information to improve quality & choice

The Cancer Outcomes Conference 2014 will explore the ‘power of information’ both locally and nationally.

It will examine how UK-wide cancer registration data and other health related datasets are being exploited to reduce cancer incidence, mortality and morbidity.

To find out more, visit www.ncin.org.uk/conference



@NCIN_PHE
#NCIN2014



NCRI
**National
Cancer
Research
Institute**